From:
Sent:
To:
Subject:

rosanne Higley <rhigley62@gmail.com> Monday, March 24, 2025 6:17 PM CDD Comments 174 Mountain View Dr, Swall Meadows

You don't often get email from rhigley62@gmail.com. Learn why this is important

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

This is my response to the question of storage container at 174 Mountain View. It appears to me that this storage container DOES NOT meet county code requirements and would be inappropriate as designed in the application. I ask you to deny the request.

Rosanne Higley 424 Mountain View Dr, Swall Meadows

Sent from Gmail Mobile

From:	karen allen <mammothkaren@yahoo.com></mammothkaren@yahoo.com>
Sent:	Monday, March 24, 2025 12:58 PM
То:	CDD Comments
Subject:	Cargo Container project at 172 Mountain View

You don't often get email from mammothkaren@yahoo.com. Learn why this is important

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Hello,

We are writing to oppose and challenge the proposed cargo container project at 172 Mountain view in Swall Meadows, Ca.

We believe the project is not in compliance with Mono county code 20.050 in two ways:

1. The cargo container appears it will not be placed to minimize visibility from adjacent properties and roadways to protect the character and visual quality of the neighborhood and community through appropriate aesthetics.

2. The "sandy/tan" color described in the project does not match the yellow color of the container picture provided in the packet. The yellow

would stand out visibly and not comply with the code requiring cargo containers to be painted a solid color that blends into the landscape.

We consider this project to not be in compliance with aesthetics to the Swall Meadows community.

Thank you for this opportunity to express our opposition to this project.

Sincerely,

Karen Allen and Brian Cashore 105 Pine Drive Swall Meadows, Ca. 93514

From:	Jim Clement <jim@mtnviewbusiness.com></jim@mtnviewbusiness.com>
Sent:	Monday, March 24, 2025 7:48 AM
То:	CDD Comments
Subject:	174 Mtn View -Cargo Container

[You don't often get email from jim@mtnviewbusiness.com. Learn why this is important at https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification]

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Good morning,

My 2-cents on this proposed cargo container is a big no. It will be highly visible, setbacks don't comply with the code and it's not compliant with the color scheme. They should build something more in line with the structures on their property. A big metal box doesn't cut it. Jim Clement 150 Pine Drive Sent from my iPhone

From:	Meredith Frolio <mf@onestoreyllc.net></mf@onestoreyllc.net>
Sent:	Sunday, March 23, 2025 1:07 PM
То:	CDD Comments
Subject:	174 Mountain View Dr Cargo Container opposition comments

You don't often get email from mf@onestoreyllc.net. Learn why this is important

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

To whom it may concern,

I oppose the placement of the proposed new 8x20 cargo container at 174 Mountain View Dr. The applicant has not attempted to comply with Mono Co Code 20.050, " containers shall be placed in a manner to minimize visibility from adjacent properties and roadways" or the Site Development rule J stated on the WCDRC Review application.

I am the uphill, adjacent neighbor and this container will be directly in my view at the elevation of my yard. This container is sited at the highest elevation of the site with no attempt to minimize the visibility. The applicant has never discussed this project with me.

I am not opposed to the container, only it's location on the site which will significantly disrupt my view *in perpetuity* as well as be an eyesore to the neighborhood, setting a poor precedent for neighborhood aesthetics. I'd like to ask that it be located below the hill the house is located on to try and disguise this large commercial item.

This site is completely exposed, with no trees, and has a significant downhill slope (which is noted as 'nearly level' in the application), significant enough that the house is built up on 10'-12' of fill dirt to achieve the house at the highest elevation of the property (also unaesthetic).

This container project can be relocated on the east side of the home, lower and towards the rear of the property, below the hill, to minimize the visibility from the road and the uphill and adjacent properties. It may be a slight inconvenience to tow items to it but it is a storage container.

Please consider that if allowed, this will set a precedent for this commercial item that will deteriorate the qualities we live in this community for. The applicant clearly has not considered his neighbors or the community with this project.

Warm regards,

Meredith Frolio 760-937-3250 www.onestoreyllc.net

